

Assessment the relationship between TSH and selected anthropometric parameters - preliminary report

Authors: ¹ Aneta Koszowska, ² Justyna Nowak, ³ Anna Brończyk- Puzoń, ⁴ Paweł Jagielski, ⁵ Anna Dittfeld, ⁶ Karolina Kulik, ^{7,8} Barbara Zubelewicz-Szkodzińska

^{1,2,6} Doctoral study in the School of Pharmacy with the Division of Laboratory Medicine in Sosnowiec (Medical University of Silesia in Katowice)

² Doctoral study in the School of Health Care in Katowice (Medical University of Silesia in Katowice)

⁴ Institute of Genetic Diagnostics and Nutrigenomics, Faculty of Clinical Biochemistry. Collegium Medicum of Jagiellonian University, Małopolska, Poland

⁵ Doctoral study in the School of Medicine with the Division of Dentistry in Zabrze (Medical University of Silesia in Katowice)

⁷ Dept of Endocrinology, County Hospital Piekar Śląskie

⁸ Dept of Nutrition-Associated Disease Prevention; Faculty of Public Health

ECE2014

3-7 May 2014
Wrocław, Poland



Introduction

The majority of secreted hormones influence the whole body, its weight and constitution as the results of ongoing metabolism. Scientific studies have reported the existence of relationship between the TSH and selected anthropometric parameters such as BMI or body weight.

Aim

The aim of the study was to assess the relationship between the value of TSH and selected anthropometric parameters in the group of endocrine patients.

Materials and methods

The study involved 87 patients with thyroid disorders who were admitted to the Endocrinology Department in 2013. We excluded patients treated with statins and patients with TSH values below 0,35 uIU/ml. Blood samples for TSH concentration were collected from patients in the morning. Anthropometric parameters were measured in the morning in accordance with generally accepted methodology. The collected data were statistically analyzed with Spearman Rank Correlation. $\alpha = 0,05$.

Results

Characteristic of the group **Table 1**. In the preliminary stage of the study we did not find any statistically significant correlation in TSH concentration and waist circumference ($R=0,16; p=0,1221$), hip circumference ($R=-0,02; p=0,8023$), BMI score ($R=0,04; p=0,6873$), percentage of body fat ($R=0,01; p=0,8807$), lean body mass ($R=-0,01; p=0,8915$) and muscle mass ($R= -0,02; r=0,8296$). There were observed positive significant correlation between the **TSH concentration and WHR index ($R=0,25; p=0,0160$)**, and **between TSH and WHtR ratio ($R=0,21; p=0,0420$)**. In addition the results showed a negative correlation between **TSH concentration and the strength of hand ($R=-0,24; p=0,0215$)**. **Table 2**.

Conclusions

The thyroid function (based on screening TSH exam) could affect body constitution and muscle strength. Enlargement of the studied group is necessary to confirm the observation.

Table 1. Characteristic of the whole analyzed group- descriptive statistics

| | N | Mean | Median | Min | Max | Lower quartile | Upper quartile | Standard deviation |
|---------------------------------|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Age | 87 | 42,98 | 38,00 | 18,00 | 82,00 | 31,00 | 58,00 | 15,79 |
| Sex | 87 | 1,10 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 2,00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 0,31 |
| Body mass kg | 87 | 72,99 | 69,10 | 21,90 | 151,60 | 56,60 | 83,60 | 22,87 |
| Height cm | 87 | 163,73 | 163,00 | 133,00 | 192,00 | 159,00 | 170,00 | 8,57 |
| BMI | 87 | 27,24 | 25,60 | 13,50 | 54,50 | 22,60 | 31,80 | 7,35 |
| Waist circumference cm | 87 | 88,30 | 86,50 | 60,00 | 136,00 | 74,00 | 98,00 | 17,59 |
| Hip circumference cm | 87 | 104,33 | 102,00 | 77,00 | 156,00 | 95,00 | 111,00 | 13,62 |
| Arm circumference cm | 87 | 29,70 | 29,50 | 16,00 | 51,00 | 26,00 | 32,50 | 5,31 |
| Calf circumference cm | 87 | 36,87 | 36,00 | 26,00 | 53,50 | 34,00 | 39,50 | 4,72 |
| WHR | 87 | 0,84 | 0,83 | 0,68 | 1,10 | 0,77 | 0,90 | 0,10 |
| WHtR | 87 | 53,99 | 52,60 | 36,59 | 84,08 | 45,96 | 60,00 | 10,59 |
| Dynamometer-left hand kg | 87 | 30,36 | 29,50 | 13,00 | 67,16 | 25,50 | 33,80 | 9,00 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) | 86 | 2,91 | 1,71 | 0,35 | 73,37 | 1,05 | 2,64 | 7,82 |
| Tluszcza % Fat % | 87 | 33,00 | 33,30 | 3,00 | 56,20 | 25,80 | 39,80 | 10,10 |
| Fat kg | 87 | 25,73 | 23,80 | 1,10 | 83,30 | 14,80 | 33,40 | 14,46 |

Spearman rank correlation between analyzed traits

| | N | R | t(N-2) | p value |
|--|----|-----------|----------|----------|
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Age | 86 | 0,194275 | 1,81515 | 0,073070 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Body mass | 86 | -0,021294 | -0,19521 | 0,845702 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Height | 86 | -0,132006 | -1,22054 | 0,225675 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & BMI | 86 | 0,044016 | 0,40381 | 0,687379 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & waist circumference (cm) | 86 | 0,167950 | 1,56147 | 0,122173 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Hip circumference (cm) | 86 | -0,027385 | -0,25108 | 0,802365 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Arm circumference (cm) | 86 | -0,018504 | -0,16962 | 0,865717 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Calf circumference (cm) | 86 | -0,060735 | -0,55768 | 0,578547 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & WHR | 86 | 0,259079 | 2,45844 | 0,016008 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & WHtR | 86 | 0,219778 | 2,06478 | 0,042028 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Dynamometer-left hand (kg) | 86 | -0,247665 | -2,34287 | 0,021500 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Fat % | 86 | 0,016416 | 0,15048 | 0,880747 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Fat kg | 86 | -0,006189 | -0,05673 | 0,954899 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Lean Body mass | 86 | -0,014917 | -0,13673 | 0,891571 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Muscle mass kg | 86 | -0,023541 | -0,21582 | 0,829652 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Water kg | 86 | -0,015823 | -0,14504 | 0,885030 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & Water % | 86 | -0,040835 | -0,37457 | 0,708921 |
| TSH (uIU/ml) & BMR kcal | 86 | -0,037210 | -0,34127 | 0,733751 |

Table 2 Spearman rank correlation between analyzed traits