SELF-MONITORING OF BLOOD GLUCOSE AND PERCEIVED OBSTACLES IN TURKISH PATIENTS WITH **DIABETES MELLITUS**

Özlem Turhan İyidir¹, Mustafa Ünübol², Bülent Ogün Hatipoğlu³, Ceyla Konca Değertekin⁴

¹Siirt State Hospital, Turkey; ²Tokat State Hospital, Turkey; ³Mardin State Hospital, Turkey; ⁴Ankara Yenimahalle State Hospital, Turkey

BACKGROUND

Self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) is the collection of detailed information of patients' blood glucose at many time points to maintain more constant glucose levels by more precise insulin regimens. SMBG is performed with personal blood glucose monitors several times per day [1]. SMBG allows real time measurement of blood glucose and reflects the influence of diet and physical activity [2]. Patients may play more active role in the control of their disease by monitoring of their blood glucose [3]. SMBG a necessary component of the treatment regimen in patients with type 1 diabetes. There is growing evidence suggesting that structured SMBG is beneficial for all type 2 diabetic patients regardless of mode of therapy [4]. Although some studies have found that individuals who practice SMBG achieve improved HbA1c values, much of the recent literature has concluded that SMBG behaviors are not associated with improved outcomes [5]. While SMBG is critical for management of diabetes, many patients do not follow their healthcare professionals' recommendations for self-monitoring. The aims of this study are to determine the proportion of patients with diabetes who perform SMBG in general practice and to identify patientreported obstacles for SMBG.

METHODS

The study included 372 patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), who attended to outpatient clinics of three State Hospitals in Turkey. To determine the prevalence and frequency of SMBG, patients were asked, "Do you or a family member or friend check your blood sugar?" Those who answered "yes" were asked, "How often do you/family member/friend check your blood sugar level?". They were classified into two groups based on SMBG frequency as SMBG frequency less than 4 tests/week and 4 or more tests/week, also asked "What makes it difficult for you to check your blood sugar". Sex, age, years of education, diabetes duration, current medications for diabetes, HbA1c levels of the patients were also recorded.

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the patients' perspective and practices of SMBG. Although most of our patients (91%) reported that they monitor their blood glucose, our results show that there are negative perceptions of patients for SMBG, which make it difficult to perform. Patients will be more likely to follow healthcare professionals' recommendations if we can address these negative perceptions.

Much of the recent literature has concluded that SMBG behaviors are not associated with improved outcomes although some studies have found that individuals who practice SMBG achieve improved HbA1c values [6]. In our study, mean HbA1c levels were lower in patients who reported more frequent SMBG. In conclusion, addressing patients' self monitoring-related concerns and motivations may be useful in reinforcing engagement with SMBG.

REFERENCES

Garg SHirsch IB. Self-monitoring of blood glucose. Int J Clin Pract Suppl, 2010(166): p. 1-10. 2. Aakre KM, Watine J, Bunting PS, Sandberg S.Oosterhuis WP. Self-monitoring of blood glucose in patients with diabetes who do not use insulin--are guidelines evidence-based? Diabet Med, 2012. 29(10): p. 1226-36. Malanda UL, Welschen LM, Riphagen, II, Dekker JM, Nijpels G.Bot SD. Self-monitoring of blood glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who are not using insulin. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2012. 1: p. CD005060. 4 Kolb H, Kempf K, Martin S, Stumvoll M.Landgraf R. On what evidence-base do we recommend self-monitoring of blood glucose? Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 2010. 87(2): p. 150-6. 5 Kenya S, Lebron C, Reves Arrechea E.Li H. Glucometer use and glycemic control among Hispanic patients with diabetes in southern Florida. Clin Ther, 2014. 36(4): p. 485-93. 6.

RESULTS

Among 372 patients, 337 (91%) reported having used SMBG during the past 12 months. Overall, 58.2% (n=196) of patients who self-monitored their blood glucose did 1-3 tests/week and 41.8% (n=141) of patients did >or=4 tests/week. The groups were similar by means of age, sex and years of education. Patients using insulin or insulin plus oral antidiabetic medications were more likely to report SMBG than were those using only oral antidiabetic medications (49.5% vs 30.5%; p<0.001). Mean HbA1c levels in less frequently testing group were significantly higher than more frequently testing group (9.4±2.5 % vs. 8.8±2.2%; p=0.03) (Table 1)

The most frequently reported barriers for testing were; I do not see any value in checking more often (8,9%); I find it unnecessary to check if I do not have any symptoms (10,1%) and the results make me feel bad and I'd rather not check (8,6%)(Table 2).

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants

rable 1. onarablenotics of study participants				expressed by the patients	
	<4 tests/week (n=196)	>or=4 tests/week (n=141)	р		N (%)
Age (yrs)	55.8±12.7	53.8±13.9	0.157	I'd rather check when I have symptoms	34 (10.1)
Sex				I forget to check	29 (8.6)
Men Women	63 (32.1%) 133 (67.9%)	47 (33.3%) 94 (66.7%)	0.818	The results often make me feel bad, so I'd rather not check	29 (8.6)
Education	133 (07.970)	94 (00.770)		I don't know how to check so I have to rely on others to do SMBG for me	29 (8.6)
None	94 (48%)	67(47.5%)		It is too expensive	17 (5)
Primary School	63 (32.1%)	39 (27.7%)	0.619	My glucometer is broken	14 (4.2)
Secondary School	11 (5.6%)	12 (8.5%)	0.019		
Post-Secondary Eductation	28 (14.3%)	23 (16.3%)		I have blood phobia	12 (3.6)
Disease Duration (yrs)	8 (1-34)	10 (1-30)	0.005	This is my doctor's recommendation	11 (3.3)
Treatment Regimen				I don't see any value in checking more often	9 (2.7)
OAD	97 (49.5%)	43 (30.5%)		It is too painful	6 (1.8)
Insulin plus OAD/Insulin only	99 (50.5%)	98 (69.5%)	<0.001	I am too busy	6 (1.8)
HbAıc (%)	9.4±2.5	8.8±2.2	0.03		- (1.0)

Table 2. Self-monitoring of blood glucose obstacles as

Czupryniak L, Barkai L, Bolgarska S, Bronisz A, Broz J, Cypryk K, Honka M, Janez A, Krnic M, Lalic N, Martinka E, Rahelic D, Roman G, Tankova T, Varkonyi T, Wolnik B.Zherdova N. Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose in Diabetes: From Evidence to Clinical Reality in Central and Eastern Europe-Recommendations from the International Central-Eastern European Expert Group. Diabetes Technol Ther, 2014.