Bronchial carcinoid presenting as Cushing’s syndrome: A challenging diagnostic conundrum
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**Introduction**

Localising the source for Cushing’s syndrome can be a challenge, when the investigations being performed are limited in its sensitivities and specificities. This case report explores these challenges with investigating a patient with clinical and biochemical features of Cushing’s syndrome.

---

**Case**

A 70 year old female presented to the endocrine outpatient clinic with lethargy, fatigue, poor sleeping patterns, one stone of weight gain and intermittent headaches for twelve months. Additionally, she had difficulties standing up from sitting and was unable to walk up the stairs. She had six weeks of dry cough with an eight year history of generalised muscle aches.

Her past medical history includes hypothyroidism, depression, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, hysterecmy for bladder prolapse and pancreatitis. She was a non-smoker and was a retired shop assistant. Her exercise tolerance was limited to a few hundred yards. Her regular medications include Ramipril, Citalopram, Omeprazole, Irbesartan, Levethyroxine and Co-codamol.

On physical examination, she had telangiectasia across both cheeks, pink striae across her abdomen and flanks with proximal muscle wasting. Her blood pressure was 215/112mmHg. A differential diagnosis of Cushing’s syndrome was suspected. Her HbA1c was 41mmol/L.

---

**Results**

1. 1) 1st 24 hour urinary cortisol: 478 nmol/24hours
   2) 2nd 24 hour urinary cortisol: 326 nmol/24hours. (Reference: < 165 nmol/24 hours)

2) Overnight dexamethasone suppression:
   - Baseline ACTH: 33nmol/l, cortisol 731nmol/l
   - Post overnight dexamethasone suppression: cortisol: 131nmol/l (normal: <50nmol/l)

3) High dose dexamethasone suppression (HDDST):
   - Baseline: 131nmol/l, ACTH : 20pmol/l
   - Post HDDST 48 hours later: < 50nmol/l

4) MRI pituitary: Normal pituitary gland with no evidence of adenoma.

5) CT chest abdomen & pelvis: No evidence of any malignancy.

6) Inferior petrosal sinus sampling: no difference between the ratios on both sides of the inferior petrosal sinus and peripheral samples, excluding a pituitary source for excessive ACTH production.

---

**Discussion**

Ectopic ACTH production accounted for 10-15% of Cushing’s syndrome and Ectopic CRH secretion for less than 1%.

In the group of ectopic ACTH, only about 1-5% of Bronchial Neuroendocrine tumours were associated with ectopic ACTH secretion²,³.

The use of the High dose dexamethasone suppression test was found to be limited as with this case, as noted in a case series that up to 83% of ectopic ACTH producing bronchial tumours demonstrated suppression on HDDST, making the diagnosis very difficult⁴. Additionally, it was noted that with the size of these carcinoid tumours, they can also easily elude imaging on CT Chest⁵. Thus, we recommend the use of functional imaging such as the DOTANOC scans for further correlation, together with Inferior Petrosal Sinus sampling to differentiate between a pituitary and an ectopic source of Cushing’s syndrome in indeterminate cases of elevated ACTH levels.

---

**Conclusion**

The limitations in investigations for Cushing’s syndrome become taken into account when investigating patients for this condition. We recommend that when biochemical testing becomes inconclusive, it should prompt further investigations such as MRI Pituitary and Inferior petrosal sinus sampling to rule out a pituitary source. Additionally, specialist radiological modalities such as NM Ga68 DOTANAC scanning should be sought to identify the causative lesion, especially when ectopic sources are suspected.
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